ITEMS CONSIDERED BY CORRESPONDENCE

Purpose

1. To provide the Council with a note of the outcomes from items considered by correspondence since the last Council meeting held on 20 January 2025.

Matters considered

2. In considering matters by correspondence, unless otherwise advised, a nil response will indicate consent. There were three matters considered by correspondence since the last meeting:

Administrative Matters

- Paper 2025.1 was issued to members on 23 January 2025 and invited members to consider and approve draft minutes from the SCJC's meeting held on 20 January 2025. Three responses were received. The minutes were finalised and published on 03 February 2025.
- **Paper 2025.2** was issued to members on 07 April 2025 and invited members to consider and approve draft minutes from the SCJC's strategy day held on 31 March 2025. One response was received. The minutes were finalised and published on 22 April 2025.
- Paper 2025.3 was issued to members on 25 April 2025 and invited members to consider and approve the appointments of Stewart Mullan and Alan Rodgers to the Costs and Funding Committee for a further three-year period. Two responses were received. Both members were therefore appointed to the Committee for a further three years.
- Paper 2025.6 was issued to members on 29 May 2025 and invited two members to consider and approve the draft SSI to amend 2 errors in the Act of Sederunt (Rules of the Court of Session 1994 and Ordinary Cause Rules 1993 Amendment) (Miscellaneous) 2025 (<u>S.S.I. 2025/80</u>) which was previously approved by Council in January 2025 and is due to commence on

1 July 2025, under the Council's urgent procedure as set out in Standing Order 7.2¹.

Recommendation

3. Members are invited to note the contents of this update.

Secretariat to the Scottish Civil Justice Council June 2025

¹ In the event that the rules being consulted upon are proposed to be made as a matter of emergency and where time does not permit the convening of an extraordinary meeting or for the matter to be considered by correspondence (for instance where a delay in making rules is likely to be seriously detrimental to the interests of justice or to be contrary to legislation), consultation may instead take place with at least one Sheriff Principal and one sheriff member of the SCJC. For the purposes of section 104(5) of the Courts Reform (Scotland) Act 2014, any views expressed by those members are to be the views expressed by the SCJC.