MEETING OF THE SCOTTISH CIVIL JUSTICE COUNCIL ANNUAL STRATEGY WORKSHOP

MONDAY, 31 MARCH 2025, AT 10:30

Edinburgh Marriott Hotel Holyrood, 81 Holyrood Rd, Edinburgh EH8 8AU (Salisbury Suite, 1st floor).

Present: Lord President (Chair)

Lady Carmichael Fiona Drysdale KC Thomas Docherty

Lord Ericht Nicola Irvine Lady Haldane Lord Harrower

Colin Lancaster (SLAB)
Sheriff Martin-Brown

lain MacRae (attended by video)

Sheriff McCartney

Riley Power (SCTS – attending for Malcom Graham)

Sheriff Principal Ross

Mandy Williams (SG - attending for Denise Swanson)

Sheriff Way Lady Wise

In attendance: Rachel Grant (LIT)

Carolyn Magill (LPPO)

Support: Craig McCorkindale (Secretariat)

Sarah Jane McNicol (Secretariat)

Paula Preston (Secretariat)
Graeme Welsh (Secretariat)

Apologies: Malcom Graham (SCTS)

Denise Swanson (SG)

Item 1. Opening comments (Chair)

1. The Chair welcomed members to the fifty-seventh meeting of the Council and noted the apologies received. This meeting provided the opportunity for members to consider all 42 rules requests in the current pipeline and their

- suggested additions to that list. The primary aim of the day was to establish a collective view on the work programme and set the priorities for the coming year.
- 2. The Council agreed to publish the supporting background papers at Flag A to D. and not to publish the internal management reports 1, 2 and 3.

Item 2. Progress made with this year's work programme (2024-25):

3. Members discussed the range of activities undertaken over the last year that had progressed the development of policy positions:

WORKFLOW	PUBLICATIONS	
CONSULTATION PAPERS	Online Intimation - to replace the walls of court Simplified procedures - for divorce and dissolution Modernising the Signet	
RESEARCH PAPERS	Research on the cost caps used in practice Research on the type of cases seeking a PEO	
MONITORING REPORTS	Update on the Aarhus concerns for Scotland Annual update on the transfer of Tribunal Rules	
PRACTICE NOTES	Practice Note 2 of 2024 – on Citizens Rights following withdrawal from the EU	

4. Members discussed the 7 draft rules instruments the Council had proposed to the Court of Session for consideration and enactment during 2024-25:

WORKFLOW	RULES INSTRUMENTS MADE & LAID		
REVIEW	Protective Expenses Orders (SSI 2024/196)		
MODERNISE	Statutory Interveners (SSI 2024/353)		
MAINTAIN	Hague 19 & currency conversion certificates (SSI 2025/XX) Nautical Assessors (SSI 2025/61)		
REFORM			
COMPLY	UNCRC Compatibility & Devolution Issues (SSI 2024/195) and the Determination of Compatibility Questions Rules (SSI 2024/197)		
FEES & EXPENSES	Objections in a Diet of Taxation (SSI 2024/323)		

5. The above tables summarise the progress made during the current year which will be reflected in the SCJC Annual Report for 2024-25 when published.

Item 3. Agreeing the priorities for the coming year (2025-26):

3.1 SUGGESTED PRIORITIES:

6. Members noted the 42 rules requests carried forward and agreed the following 9 items as the priorities to be progressed during the coming year:

WORKFLOW	POLICY TOPIC
RUNNING CONSULTATIONS	Adding Simple Procedure Special Claims (SPSC) Extending PEOs to the sheriff court A simplified table of fees for Officers of Court
ANALYSING CONSULTATION RESPONSES	Online intimation / withdrawal of the walls of court Extension of simplified divorce Modernisation of the signet
FACILITATING WORKING GROUPS	Group Procedure Working Group
SSIS TO BE MADE & LAID	Inner House Rules Covid (Recovery & Reform) (Scotland) Act 2022

3.2 KEEPING THE SYSTEM UNDER REVIEW

7. The meeting considered the areas of the wider civil justice system that may provide either opportunities for change, or potential problems to be solved. The following points were noted from that discussion:

Public Education

To understand "the way the system works" the general public need the capability to access the information they need in multiple different ways. To support "justice being seen to be done" their understanding is now better supported by broadcasters filming trials within Scottish courtrooms. Members will consider other options.

Access to Data

The raw data captured and the way it has been converted into useable information does impact on the ability of Council to fulfil its statutory functions. The annual "Civil justice statistics in Scotland" report can only provide part of the answers needed, and the withdrawal of the annual survey on civil justice problems will have an impact. There is a need to identify those gaps that may materially impact on delivery of that function.

User Experience

The testing of user experience is a sizeable task. The current range of "user groups" is but one of the ways in which user feedback is being captured. Members considered how that feedback could be better utilised, along with the other potential channels that could be used to secure user input.

Party Litigants

The cost of living crisis has increased the proportion of sheriff court proceedings where party litigants are involved, particularly in family law actions. That upwards trend will continue to impact on the way the civil justice system works in practice.

Civil Online

The procedure requiring the mandatory use of Civil Online has now been in use for some time and raw data is being captured on the number of applications made, and the mode of application used (paper or online). Whilst members had received a summary of that data, there is now a need for a more in-depth analysis of the access to justice impacts that may arise.

8. As an additional priority item during 2025-26 - the Council agreed to add a 'Rules Review' regarding the way in which the Mandatory use of Civil Online Rules are working in practice.

3.3 PROMPTS FOR DISCUSSION

9. The meeting considered the areas of current practice and procedure that may provide opportunities for change, or potential problems to be solved. The following points arose from that discussion:

Group Procedure Working Group

Members noted the remit and membership of the new working group. Lord Ericht updated members on the potential lessons learned from the 8 cases now underway in the Court of Session; the nature of decisions made in Competition Appeal Tribunal cases; the wealth of academic literature available on class actions and the option taken in the Netherlands where the court only becomes involved in approving the settlement reached (rather than being involved in the proceedings from start to finish). The 2 reclaiming motions being heard this week will be the first time the Inner House will provide its view on how the current rules work in practice.

Open Justice – Live Streaming

The judiciary view live streaming is a key way to "demystify the courts" for the general public. The next step will be the live streaming of criminal appeals.

Open Justice – Modes of Attendance

The modes of attendance rules were introduced at pace as part of the pandemic response, hence the need to pause and take stock of the way in which the available technologies are now being used. The concern is that for some parties a virtual hearing may diminish access to justice, rather than improve it. The absence of a definition of the difference between procedural and substantive hearings was a case in point - as a decision within a procedural hearing in an asylum action can bring the case to an end and remove all rights of challenge. The public are entitled to attend any hearing regardless of their reason for doing so. There is a need to ensure that the procedures used 'do not shut the public out'. The overarching aim is still to make the most of the technology available and members have no desire to go back to the pre-pandemic state of everything being held in person. It is, however, vital that all court hearings are open to the public in a real and meaningful sense. Further work is now required to ensure that can be achieved in practice.

10. As an additional priority item during 2025-26 - the Council agreed to add a Rules Review to assess whether the Modes of Attendance rules fully support access to justice.

Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee (ACCC)

The question underlying the 'communication that's been lodged with the ACCC is whether the general public was "denied meaningful participation" when the Council, in June 2024, chose not to run a consultation on the amendments made to the 2018 PEO Rules. The ACCC will meet in June 2025 and their agenda may include the "communication" (initiating writ) as lodged by the ERCS. If that communication satisfies the "de minimis" level under the convention the party (the UK member state) will receive notice (intimation) that it has 5 months in which to lodge its initial "observations on the admissibility of the communication" (answers) prior to then attending a procedural hearing on admissibility. To provide an informed response the Council will undertake reasonableness checks on the "public consultation process" it uses, and the "communication process", to provide assurance that the reasonable expectations under article 8 of the convention are being met. In researching that SCJC response the secretariat will seek appropriate legal advice before providing a formal report to the Council.

Item 4. Considering the 42 rules requests carried forward:

11. Members noted the summary information as provided within the slide pack, and the further detail within the papers as circulated in advance.

Item 5. Closing comments (Chair)

12. The Lord President thanked members for the insights provided by their active participation in today's event and closed the workshop.

ANNEX 1 – THE PROGRAMME OF WORK (For 2025/26)

EXISTING = request carried forward from 2023-24

ADDITION = request added during April 2025 to Feb 2025

NEW = request arising from the strategy day held on 31 Mar 2025

COURT RULES - 37 rules requests carried forward, with 2 new requests added by the Council. Of those 39 the 10 agreed priorities are as highlighted in **bold**:

Count	Status	Category	Description
1	Existing	Review	Personal Injury Pre Action Protocol
2	Existing	Review	Withdrawal of Agents (RCS Chap. 30)
3	Existing	Review	Inner House Rules
4	Existing	Review	Protective Expenses Orders (PEO's)
5	New	Review	Mandatory use of Civil Online
6	New	Review	Modes of Attendance Rules
1	Existing	Modernise	Lay representation, lay support and party litigants
2	Existing	Modernise	Pre Action Protocol - Clinical negligence
3	Existing	Modernise	Pre Action Protocol - Disease
4	Existing	Modernise	Caveats
5	Addition	Modernise	Electronic Recording of Evidence (new item)
1	Existing	Maintain	Public Interest Interventions
2	Existing	Maintain	Appointment of assessors under the Equality Act
3	Existing	Maintain	Extension of Simplified Divorce
4	Existing	Maintain	Historic Abuse – Nominal Defenders
5	Existing	Maintain	E-Motions
6	Existing	Maintain	Pursuers offers (for PI cases under £5k)
7	Existing	Maintain	Inhibition in execution
8	Existing	Maintain	Postal copies (of documents served)
1	Existing	Reform	Rules Rewrite (the New Civil Procedure Rules)
2	Existing	Reform	Simple Procedure Special Claims (SPSC)
3	Existing	Reform	Group Proceedings Part 2 (opt out procedure)
4	Existing	Reform	Civil Litigation (Expenses & Group Proceedings)(S) Act 2018 - Sec 9
5	Existing	Reform	Civil Litigation (Expenses & Group Proceedings)(S) Act 2018 - Sec 10
6	Existing	Reform	Civil Litigation (Expenses & Group Proceedings)(S) Act 2018 - Sec 11
1	Existing	Comply	Damages (Investment Returns and Periodical Payments) (S) Act 2019
2	Existing	Comply	Civil Partnership (Scotland) Act 2020
3	Existing	Comply	Children (Scotland) Act 2020
4	Existing	Comply	Defamation and Malicious Publication (Scotland) Act 2021
5	Existing	Comply	Domestic Abuse (Protection)(Scotland) 2021
6	Existing	Comply	Coronavirus (Recovery and Reform) (Scotland) Act 2022
			- Online intimation / walls of court
			- Modernisation of the signet
7	Existing	Comply	Child Support (Enforcement) Act 2023
8	Existing	Comply	Moveable Transactions (Scotland) Act 2023
9	Existing	Comply	Hunting with Dogs (Scotland) Act 2023
10	Existing	Comply	Trusts and Succession (Scotland) Act 2024
11	Addition	Comply	Bankruptcy and Diligence (Scotland) Act 2024
12	Addition	Comply	Judicial Factors (Scotland) Act 2025

ANNEX 1 - THE FULL PROGRAMME OF WORK ... continued

EXISTING = request carried forward from 2023-24

ADDITION = request added during April 2025 to Feb 2025

NEW = request arising from the strategy day held on 31 Mar 2025

<u>FEES RULES</u> - 4 rules requests carried forward. Of those 4 the 1 agreed priority item is highlighted in **bold**:

Coun	t Item	Category	Description
1	Existing	Judicial Expenses	Fee structure - for Clinical Negligence PAP
2	Existing	Judicial Expenses	Fee structure - for Disease PAP
3	Existing Regulated Fees		Simplified 'Table of Fees' for Officers of Court
4	Addition	Judicial Expenses	Reduction of Inclusive Charges – taxation rule 3.7

INQUIRY RULES - 1 rules request carried forward:

ſ	Count	Item	Category	Description
	1	Existing	Maintain	To seek COPFS views (on the FAI rules)

TRIBUNAL RULES - 2 items carried forward (to prepare for the pending transfer)

Count	Item	Category	Description
1	Existing	Reform	To monitor the jurisdictions transferred (per the 2014 Act)
2	Existina	Modernise	To establish the judicial objectives (for progressing a trib unals Rules Rewrite)